

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,411	08/15/2003	Bruce Downing	04006.00011 1474		
7	7590 08/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven Thrasher			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W		
391 Sandhill Dr. Richardson, TX 75080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/200-	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	c/		
Office Action Comments	10/642,411	DOWNING, BRUCE	9		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donald Underwood	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/15	<u>5/03</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/15/03</u> is/are: a) $\square$ a	ccepted or b) abjected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/642,411

Art Unit: 3652

## **Detailed Action**

- 1. The drawing is objected to under 37CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show and label skids (claim 1), a channel (claim 2), a channel in the lower portion of the front of the bucket (claim 3), loops (claim 10), and braces (claim 13). Correction is required. The introduction of new matter should be guarded against.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concide and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the channel is disposed in a lower portion of the front of the bucket.

It is unclear how the braces are constructed.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, "the cut of the conical section" lacks a clear antecedent basis rendering the claim indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,411

Art Unit: 3652

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 5, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pettersson.

Note the front lip of Pettersson's bucket broadly comprises a pourer portion.

8. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gesuale.

Note the front lip of Gesuale's bucket broadly comprises a pourer portion.

Regarding claim 7, note 26 in figure 4 of Gesuale.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the sections in beams 12 comprising openings 16 (figure 2 in Gesuale) are synonymous with the claimed loops.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deve in view of Pettersson or Gesuale.

Note 10 in Deye is synonymous with the claimed bucket and 60 is synonymous with the power portion, or the back, bottom and sides of 10 are synonymous with the claimed bucket and the front wall and 60 are synonymous with the power portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,411

Art Unit: 3652

It would have been obvious to provide skid receivers on the container in Deye for

use with skids in view of the teaching in Pettersson or Gesuale.

11. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Deye in view of Pettersson or Gesuale as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view

of Slagter.

It would have been obvious to substitute a spout structure for the bucket front

and 60 in Deye in view of the teaching in Slagter. Whether this spout was as shown in

Slagter or some other conventional spout such as a cone or triangular arrangement

would have been obvious to an artisan.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood

at telephone number 703-308-1113.

Underwood/vs August 20, 2004 DONALD W. UNDERWOOD

DONALD W. UNDERWOOD

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4